



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/690,678	10/22/2003	Ferruccio I. China	8146-90846 6149	
24628	7590 07/08/2004		EXAMINER	
WELSH & KATZ, LTD			SINGH, SUNIL	
	SIDE PLAZA			
22ND FLOO	R		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60606		3673		
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	on Summary Part	of Paper No./Mail Date 20040624				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pat 6) Other:	PTO-413) 9 ent Application (PTO-152)	<u></u>			
		•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list o		L				
application from the International Bureau	ly documents have been received (PCT Rule 17 2(2))	In this National Stage				
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
— September 2 and promy about motor boot received.						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☑ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents	have by					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	or. resto the attached Office /	notion of form PTO-152.				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	on is required if the drawing(s) is objections.	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 October 2003</u> is/are:						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
Application Papers						
	orosion requirement.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.						
Disposition of Claims						
Glosed III accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	action is non-final.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_					
Status		•				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	date of this communication, even if timely filed,	may reduce any				
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period when the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will be statute. 	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
reliod for kepty						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
	Examiner Sunil Singh	Art Unit				
Office Action Summary	10/690,678	CHINA ET AL.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				

VID.

Application/Control Number: 10/690,678

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 2 paragraph [0007] recites "o" which need to be corrected.
 Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the word means should be omitted. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract **not exceed 150 words** in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Information Disclosure Statement

4. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate

Art Unit: 3673

paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Drawings

- 5. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "36" and "38" have both been used to designate plunger. Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 6. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "28" has been used to designate both lower cylinder where member 32 is (page 11) and outer face of the casing (page 12). Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified

Application/Control Number: 10/690,678

Art Unit: 3673

and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Applicant should carefully check the specification and correct all "same reference numeral for different parts" and "different parts with same reference numeral".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 "a like" is awkward and confusing.

Claim 4 is confusing because it appears that the diverging face of the at least two spools are in slidable contact with the upper diverging face of the equalizer.

Claim 15, the word "silver" is confusing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3673

10. Claims 1-3,9-11, 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Linnemann (US 1514058) or Japanese document '397 (JP 4-49397) in view of Fuchs (US 3425500).

Linnemann and Japanese document '397 both disclose a device for breaking rocks comprising a hollow cylindrical chamber having a closed lower end, a closed upper end, an access aperture in said closed upper end and an outer circumferential surface; a cylindrical plunger longitudinally-reciprocally disposed within the interior of said cylindrical chamber, the upper end of said plunger having an upper face and a converging portion below said upper face; at least two spools disposed equally around the circumference of said cylindrical plunger, each said spool including a converging upper end which is configured to be in slidable contact with said converging portion of said cylindrical plunger (see disclosures). Linnemann and Japanese document both disclose the invention substantially as claimed. However, they both are silent about the plunger being biased in a retracted position, hardened inserts placed on the spools and hydraulic pressure to actuate the plunger. Hardened inserted placed on spools are well known and old in the art. Fuchs teaches a plunger being biased in a retracted position and hydraulic pressure to actuate the plunger (see Figs. 1,4). It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Linnemann or Japanese document '397 to include a plunger being biased in a retracted position, hardened inserts placed on the spools and hydraulic pressure to actuate the plunger as taught by well known knowledge in the art and Fuchs since such an arrangement allows for a more effective rock breaking means by utilizing hydraulic means.

Application/Control Number: 10/690,678

Art Unit: 3673

With regards to claim 3, it would be obvious to use any number of spools which includes four.

With regards to claims 10-11, it would be obvious to use spring means as the biasing means for the spool.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 4-8,12 and 16 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. Claim 15 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wipo documents (EP 0305553, 0306539, 0305552) all teach devices for breaking rocks.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunil Singh whose telephone number is (703) 308-4024. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3673

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sunil Şingh

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3673

SS *S*S 6/24/04